

United Nations Development Programme Country: Republic of Serbia 2018 Annual Work Plan

Project Title:	Development of Minamata Convention on Mercury Initial Assessment in the Republic of Serbia By 2020, there are improved capacities to combat climate change and manage natural resources and communities are more resilient to the effects natural and man-made disasters							
UNDAF Outcome(s):								
Expected Output(s):	Capacities for policy making and implementation of international agreements improved Ministry of Environmental Protection							
(Those that will result from the project and extracted from the CPD)								
Implementing Partner:								
Responsible Parties:	Ministry of Environmental Protection, UNDP							
	Total resources required 52,250 USD							
Atlas Award ID: 00095474	Total allocated resources: 52,250 USD							
Project ID: 00099482								
Start date: April 2016	• GEF: 52,250 USD							
End Date April 2018	Unfunded budget:							
Management Arrangements: NIM with UNDP support	In-kind Contributions: 24,305 USD (Government)							
Bri	ef Description							
the Republic of Serbia to determine the na Minamata Convention and defining of nation	Initial Assessment and to enable the Government of ational requirements and needs for ratification of the onal priorities for implementation of the Convention. ssion of Mercury Initial Assessment report to the							
Agreed by Ministry of Environmental Proted Agreed by UNDP:	ction:							

I. Annual Work Plan 2018

EXPECTED OUTPUTS	PLANNED ACTIVITIES	TIMEFRAME			E	RESPONSIBLE	PLANNED BUDGET		
And baseline, indicators including annual targets	List activity results and associated actions	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	PARTY	Funding Source	Budget Description	2018
Mercury Initial Assessment prepared and enabling environment for ratification of the Minamata convention established. Baseline: No previous Mercury assessment prepared at national level; First consultations among key decision-makers on the Minamata Convention and its provisions initiated in 2017; Insufficient information on Minamata convention's provisions and requirements Indicators: 1. Mercury Initial Assessment prepared and enabling environment for the Minamata Convention and ratification Actions: Two Hg awareness raising events related to mercury management, targeting decision makers and population groups at risk conducted, including various communication products (awareness raising tools for professionals in mercury management related sectors toolkit for population at risk with List of Alternatives to Mercury-added Products and Short documentary vide about mercury related issues in Serbia). National Mercury Profile and MIA Report developed Actions: Initial inventory of mercury sources completed; National consultations on socio-economic implications.	Actions: Two Hg awareness raising events related to mercury management, targeting decision makers and population groups at risk conducted, including various communication products (awareness raising tools for professionals in mercury management related sectors; toolkit for population at risk with List of Alternatives to Mercury-added Products and Short documentary video	x	x			UNDP	GEF	72100 Contractual Services- Companies 71600 Travel 74500 Miscellaneous	12,000 2,250 880
	National Mercury Profile and MIA Report developed Actions: Initial inventory of mercury sources completed;							71200 International Consultants	4,120
	product substitution, technology transfer, remediation costs and financial resources for intervention held; Mercury profile and Assessment report prepared,	х	х			UNDP	GEF	Contractual Services- Companies 74200 Audio Visual & Print Prod Costs	26,000
to support ratification of the Minamata Targets: 1. Yes 2. 3 awareness raising activities/events for at least 100 stakeholders from relevant national and local institutions, business sector, civil society and media 3. 1	Monitoring, Learning, Adaptive Feedback and Evaluation Actions: Set of recommendations for Minamata Convention ratification prepared; Report on Technics and Methods of Sampling and Analyzing Mercury Emissions from Chlor-Alkali Facilities developed and accepted by beneficiary;	х	х			UNDP	GEF	71200 International Consultants	5,000
Subtotal Direct Project Costs ¹									
General Management Services ²									
TOTAL PROJECT COST ³									52,250

¹ DPC included in budget (marked in yellow =880 USD) DPC calculated as per old ISS costing policy and covers Office and IT costs only, no staff salaries

² GMS already collected at the beginning of the project in 2016. GMS in GEF funded projects is taken on the fund level, funds are budgeted net GMS with ASL allocation per CO

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Final adjustments will be reflected in 2018 as per 2017 year-end processes